

# Panel Data: Dynamic Panel Data

Pengpeng Yue

Version: Fall 2022



1 Dynamic panel-data (DPD) analysis

2 Acemoglu, Johnson, Robinson and Yared (2008)

# Dynamic panel-data (DPD) analysis

- ✓ xtabond
- ✓ xtdpdsys
- ✓ xtdpd

xtabond

xtabond fits a **linear dynamic panel-data model** where the unobserved panel-level effects are correlated with the lags of the dependent variable, known as **the Arellano-Bond estimator**. This estimator is designed for datasets with **many panels and few periods**, and it requires that there be **no autocorrelation** in the idiosyncratic errors.

`xtabond` implements the Arellano and Bond estimator, which uses moment conditions in which **lags of the dependent variable** and first differences of the exogenous variables are instruments for the first-differenced equation.

# xtabond: Arellano-Bond linear dynamic panel-data estimation

- ✓ Example: webuse abdata
- ✓ A dynamic model of labor demand to an unbalanced panel of firms located in the United Kingdom
- ✓ A panel variable and a time variable must be specified

# xtabond: Arellano-Bond linear dynamic panel-data estimation

- ✓ Model employment on wages, capital stock, industry output, year dummies, and a time trend, including one lag of employment and two lags of wages and capital stock.



# Basic model with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors

xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2)

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2)

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation      Number of obs   =    611
Group variable: id                               Number of groups =    140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:
    min =    4
    avg =  4.364286
    max =    6

Number of instruments =    41                    Wald chi2(15)    =   1624.40
                                                Prob > chi2      =    0.0000
```

One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
n						
L1.		.7080866	.1456767	4.86	0.000	.4225654 .9936077
L2.		-.0886343	.0440856	-1.97	0.048	-.1766084 -.0006682
w						
---		-.605526	.0661685	-9.15	0.000	-.7352138 -.4758382
L1.		.4896717	.1082166	3.79	0.000	.197571 .6217723
k						
---		.3556407	.037385	9.51	0.000	.2823674 .428914
L1.		-.0599314	.0566394	-1.06	0.290	-.1709425 .0510797
L2.		-.0211709	.0418278	-0.51	0.613	-.1031519 .0608101
ys						
---		.6264699	.1349141	4.64	0.000	.3670432 .8909967
L1.		-.7231751	.1846245	-3.92	0.000	-1.005033 -.4413177
L2.		-.1179079	.1441364	0.82	0.413	-.1645943 .0804101
yr1980						
---		.0113066	.0140743	0.80	0.422	-.0162706 .0388917
yr1981		-.0212183	.0206732	-1.03	0.305	-.0617371 .0193105
yr1982		-.034952	.0221406	-1.58	0.114	-.0783467 .0084427
yr1983		-.0207094	.0251748	-1.14	0.254	-.0790951 .0286323
yr1984		-.014862	.0234833	-0.52	0.602	-.0766083 .0469562
_cons		1.03792	.6221785	1.67	0.095	-.1815295 2.257369

Instruments for differenced equation  
 GMM-type: L1Z/.)n  
 Standard: D.w LD.w D.k LD.k L2D.k D.ys LD.ys L2D.ys D.yr1980  
 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation  
 Standard: \_cons

# Basic model with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors

xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) vce(robust)

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) vce(robust)

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation    Number of obs   =   611
Group variable: id                             Number of groups =   140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:
      min =     4
      avg =  4.364286
      max =     6

Number of instruments =   41          Wald chi2(15)    =   1678.00
                                        Prob > chi2     =   0.0000

One-step results
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on id)

n		Robust		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Coef.	Std. Err.					
n							
L1.	.7080866	.1455379	4.87	0.000	.4228376	.9933256	
L2.	-.0886343	.0575558	-1.59	0.112	-.1979137	.020645	
w							
---	-.605526	.1796819	-3.37	0.001	-.9576962	-.2533559	
L1.	.4096717	.1741168	2.35	0.019	.0684891	.7509343	
k							
---	.3556407	.0587954	6.05	0.000	.2404038	.4708775	
L1.	-.4599314	.0717430	-0.84	0.404	-.2005469	.0808041	
L2.	-.0211789	.0331968	-0.64	0.524	-.0862355	.0438937	
ys							
---	.6264699	.1705759	3.67	0.000	.2921473	.9607926	
L1.	-.7231751	.2354623	-3.07	0.002	-1.184673	-.2616774	
L2.	.1179879	.1440899	0.82	0.413	-.1643463	.4001621	
yr1980							
	.0113066	.0135456	0.83	0.404	-.0152422	.0378554	
yr1981							
	-.0212183	.0251783	-0.84	0.399	-.0705669	.0281302	
yr1982							
	-.034952	.0255807	-1.37	0.172	-.0858892	.0151852	
yr1983							
	-.0207094	.0276914	-1.04	0.300	-.0829835	.0255648	
yr1984							
	-.014862	.0289466	-0.51	0.608	-.0715964	.0418723	
_cons	1.03792	.6979649	1.49	0.137	-.330066	2.405906	

```
Instruments for differenced equation
GMW-type: L1(2/.)n
Standard: D.w LD.w D.k LD.k L2D.k D.ys LD.ys L2D.ys D.yr1980
D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation
Standard: _cons
```

# Basic model with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors

xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep

```
. xtabond n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep
```

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation    Number of obs =    611  
Group variable: id                                Number of groups =    140  
Time variable: year                                 
    Obs per group:  
    min =                    4  
    avg =                   4.364286  
    max =                    6

Number of instruments =    41                                Wald chi2(15) =    2282.22  
    Prob > chi2            =    0.0000

Two-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
n						
L1.		.6559667	.090828	7.29	0.000	-.479515 .8324184
L2.		-.0729992	.0270121	-2.70	0.007	-.1259419 -.0200566
w						
---		-.5132088	.0537642	-9.55	0.000	-.6185847 -.4078329
L1.		.3289685	.0961446	3.42	0.001	.1405205 .5174085
k						
---		.7694384	.0438193	6.15	0.000	.1835541 .3553226
L1.		.0216493	.058486	0.43	0.668	-.0771447 .1204432
L2.		-.0409021	.0258317	-1.58	0.113	-.0915314 .0097271
ys						
---		.5917429	.1152432	5.13	0.000	.3658743 .8176115
L1.		-.572821	.1396141	-4.10	0.000	-.8456596 -.2983825
L2.		.1172642	.1136713	1.03	0.302	-.1055273 .3400550
yr1980						
		.0092621	.0107871	0.86	0.391	-.0118802 .0304044
yr1981						
		-.0347006	.0190697	-1.75	0.081	-.0736524 .0042352
yr1982						
		-.0432807	.0210895	-2.05	0.040	-.0846155 -.001946
yr1983						
		-.0277604	.0214655	-1.29	0.196	-.069832 .0143112
yr1984						
		-.0335613	.0224111	-1.50	0.134	-.0774862 .0103636
_cons						
		-.0939961	.4692288	1.05	0.292	-.4256597 1.413652

Warning: gmm two-step standard errors are biased; robust standard errors are recommended.

Instruments for differenced equation  
GMW-type: L1(2/.)n  
Standard: D.w LD.w D.k LD.k L2D.k D.ys LD.ys L2D.ys D.yr1980 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation  
Standard: \_cons

# Treat w and k as predetermined and include w, L.w, k, L.k, and L2.k as additional regressors

xtabond n l(0/2).ys yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) pre(w, lag(1,.)) pre(k, lag(2,.))

```
. xtabond n l(0/2).ys yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) pre(w, lag(1,.)) pre(k, lag(2,.))

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation      Number of obs   =    611
Group variable: id                               Number of groups =    140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:
    min =     4
    avg =  4.364286
    max =     6

Number of instruments =    84                    Wald chi2(15)   =   1411.83
                                                Prob > chi2     =    0.0000
```

One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<b>n</b>						
L1.		.7937668	.0072834	9.09	0.000	.6224944 .9648392
L2.		-.0043626	.0607867	-1.39	0.165	-.2035024 .0347772
<b>w</b>						
---		-.6974494	.1279892	-5.45	0.000	-.9483037 -.4465951
L1.		.6244379	.1372117	4.55	0.000	.3554976 .8933782
<b>k</b>						
---		.3771336	.1159306	3.25	0.001	.1499137 .6043534
L1.		-.1323513	.0954641	-1.39	0.166	-.3194575 .0547549
L2.		-.003734	.0752791	-1.11	0.266	-.2312784 .0638104
<b>ys</b>						
---		.6022657	.1529172	3.94	0.000	.3025534 .901978
L1.		-.8908964	.2060333	-4.34	0.000	-1.304578 -.4931445
L2.		.2956080	.1668582	1.78	0.076	-.0304752 .6235968
<b>yr1980</b>						
---		.0179943	.0146664	1.23	0.220	-.0107466 .0467352
yr1981		-.0205353	.0238296	-0.86	0.389	-.0672404 .0261698
yr1982		-.0379638	.0299283	-1.24	0.216	-.0957221 .0235946
yr1983		-.0205482	.0305192	-0.70	0.433	-.0951621 .0424655
yr1984		-.0204660	.0436329	-0.48	0.631	-.1040258 .063092
__cons		-.6076893	.8817826	0.69	0.491	-1.128416 2.335795

Instruments for differenced equation

GM-type: L(2/.)n L(1/.)L.w L(1/.)L2.k

Standard: D.y L0.y L20.y D.yr1980 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983

D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation

Standard: \_\_cons

# Treat L.w and L2.k as endogenous and include w, L.w, k, L.k, and L2.k as additional regressors

xtabond n l(0/2).ys yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) endogenous(w, lag(1,.))  
endogenous(k, lag(2,.))

```
. xtabond n l(0/2).ys yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) endogenous(w, lag(1,.)) endogenous(k, lag(2,.))
```

Arellano-Bond dynamic panel-data estimation    Number of obs    =    611  
Group variable: id                                Number of groups   =    140  
Time variable: year

Obs per group:

min	=	4
avg	=	4.364286
max	=	6

Number of instruments = 72                        Wald chi2(15)       =    1424.46  
Prob > chi2           =    0.0000

One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
a						
l1.		.4968552	.0613920	7.92	0.000	.5160286 .8751819
l2.		-.0761209	.0618222	-1.25	0.212	-.1957519 .0454981
w						
---		-.7806816	.1468460	-5.37	0.000	-.1055076 -.5612247
l1.		.4954248	.1483359	3.33	0.000	.2285637 .7786859
k						
---		-.3744889	.1280512	-3.12	0.002	-.1391028 -.609785
l1.		-.3443316	.1080143	-1.64	0.100	-.3485884 .0519651
l2.		-.8362270	.0778196	-8.47	0.000	-.1071835 -.1147279
ys						
---		.6398569	.1512642	4.22	0.000	.3425825 .9355353
l1.		-.839855	.2888936	-3.98	0.000	-1.248277 -.421429
l2.		.2549864	.1665737	1.53	0.126	-.071374 .5813828
yr1980		.0187221	.0145584	1.29	0.100	-.0097953 .0472414
yr1981		-.01529	.0261264	-0.63	0.528	-.062517 .932897
yr1982		-.0315143	.0218578	-1.42	0.159	-.0924864 .0282578
yr1983		-.0214481	.0379517	-0.57	0.571	-.095074 .0528937
yr1984		-.0148253	.0452839	-0.32	0.748	-.1822233 .0739728
_cons		1.084432	.0429195	1.15	0.258	-.7636758 2.932581

Instruments for differenced equation

GMN-type: L1Z(.)& L2Z(.)& L.w L1Z(.)& L2.w  
Standard: D.y L0.y L2.y D.yr1980 D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983  
D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation  
Standard: \_cons

xtdpdsys

`xtdpdsys` fits a linear dynamic panel-data model where the unobserved panel-level effects are correlated with the lags of the dependent variable.

This model is an extension of the Arellano-Bond estimator that accommodates large autoregressive parameters and a large ratio of the variance of the panel-level effect to the variance of idiosyncratic error.

This is known as the Arellano-Bover/Blundell-Bond system estimator.

This estimator is designed for datasets with **many panels and few periods**.

This method assumes that there is **no autocorrelation** in the idiosyncratic errors and requires that the panel-level effects be uncorrelated with the first difference of the first observation of the dependent variable.

xtdpdsys implements the Arellano and Bover/Blundell and Bond system estimator, which uses the xtabond moment conditions and moment conditions in which lagged first differences of the dependent variable are instruments for the level equation.



# Basic model with strictly exogenous covariates and two lags of the dependent variable

xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2)

```
. xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2)
```

System dynamic panel-data estimation  
 Group variable: id  
 Time variable: year

Number of obs = 751  
 Number of groups = 140  
 Obs per group:  
 min = 5  
 avg = 5.364286  
 max = 7

Number of instruments = 48  
 Wald chi2(15) = 4081.38  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<b>alpha</b>						
	n					
	L1.	.9158204	.0853873	10.73	0.000	.7485644 1.083276
	L2.	-.065795	.0369882	-1.78	0.075	-.1382985 .0067086
<b>w</b>						
	---	-.6467383	.0604371	-9.45	0.000	-.7806646 -.512596
	L1.	.5298655	.0918894	5.77	0.000	.3480848 .709242
<b>k</b>						
	---	.3368997	.0338466	9.93	0.000	.2697342 .4024652
	L1.	-.3415277	.0444765	-3.18	0.001	-.4287981 -.2543553
	L2.	-.0615284	.0366980	-1.68	0.094	-.133441 .0103043
<b>ys</b>						
	---	.6678713	.1461438	4.57	0.000	.3814348 .9543079
	L1.	-.8346481	.1768848	-4.72	0.000	-1.180944 -.4883521
	L2.	-.3318935	.1495364	-2.22	0.029	-.613342 -.0504089
<b>yr1980</b>						
	---	.0165223	.0351769	1.09	0.276	-.0322238 .0626884
<b>yr1981</b>						
	---	-.8174472	.0223515	-36.58	0.000	-.8612552 -.7736392
<b>yr1982</b>						
	---	-.8193843	.0229846	-35.63	0.000	-.8646088 -.7741600
<b>yr1983</b>						
	---	-.8128877	.0255229	-31.85	0.000	-.8629116 -.7628638
<b>yr1984</b>						
	---	-.695388	.0292233	-23.80	0.000	-.7466337 -.6441423
<b>_cons</b>						
	---	.743272	.6288866	1.18	0.235	-.4736842 1.960148

Instruments for differenced equation  
 GMM-type: l1(2) .j .n  
 Standard: B.w l0-w B.k l0-k l20.k B.y0-ys l20-ys B.yr1980  
 B.yr1981 B.yr1982 B.yr1983 B.yr1984

Instruments for level equation  
 GMM-type: l0 .n  
 Standard: \_cons



# Two-step estimator of the same model

xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep  
vce(robust)

```
. xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep vce(robust)

System dynamic panel-data estimation      Number of obs   =    751
Group variable: id                       Number of groups =    140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:
      min =    5
      avg =  5.364286
      max =    7

Number of instruments =    48             Wald chi2(15)   =   1449.65
                                          Prob > chi2     =    0.0000

Two-step results
```

	n	Coef.	MC-Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<b>n</b>						
L1.		.9767449	.1418801	6.89	0.000	.6988061 1.254604
L2.		-.0836652	.0419231	-2.00	0.046	-.165833 --.0014975
<b>w</b>						
---		-.5631217	.151118	-3.73	0.000	-.8593075 --.2669358
L1.		.5673231	.2123546	2.67	0.008	.1511158 .9835304
<b>k</b>						
---		.2849277	.0668521	4.26	0.000	.1539001 .4159554
L1.		-.0876075	.0071276	-1.01	0.315	-.2583744 .0831595
L2.		-.0961451	.0433443	-2.22	0.027	-.1810904 --.0111919
<b>ys</b>						
---		.6138593	.1781104	3.45	0.001	.2647694 .9629491
L1.		-.765499	.2470001	-3.10	0.002	-1.249626 --.2813719
L2.		.1140538	.1725595	0.66	0.509	-.2241566 .4522641
<b>yr1980</b>						
---		.009473	.0168233	0.56	0.573	-.0235001 .0424461
yr1981		-.0240051	.0296342	-0.84	0.403	-.0820071 .0332768
yr1982		-.0303709	.0327228	-0.93	0.353	-.0945064 .0337646
yr1983		-.0097145	.0363711	-0.27	0.789	-.0810005 .0615715
yr1984		-.0214451	.0348021	-0.62	0.538	-.089656 .0467658
_cons		.3246957	.6640236	0.49	0.625	-.9767666 1.626158

```
Instruments for differenced equation
GM-type: L2(.).a
Standard: D.w LD.w D.k LD.k L2D.k D.ys LD.ys L2D.ys D.yr1980
D.yr1981 D.yr1982 D.yr1983 D.yr1984

Instruments for level equation
GM-type: LD.a
Standard: _cons
```

# Now allow some of the covariates to be predetermined

xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep pre(w, lag(1,.) ) pre(k,lag(2,.))

```
. xtdpdsys n l(0/1).w l(0/2).(k ys) yr1980-yr1984, lags(2) twostep pre(w, lag(1,.) ) pre(k,lag(2,.))
note: w dropped because of collinearity
note: L.w dropped because of collinearity
note: k dropped because of collinearity
note: L.k dropped because of collinearity
note: L2.k dropped because of collinearity

System dynamic panel-data estimation      Number of obs   =   751
Group variable: id                        Number of groups =   140
Time variable: year

Obs per group:
      min =   5
      avg =  5.364296
      max =   7

Number of instruments =   189             Wald chi2(13)   =  633224.06
                                          Prob > chi2     =   0.0000

Two-step results
```

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<b>n</b>						
L1.		.9309334	.0139849	67.31	0.000	.8886883 .9631884
L2.		-.0472384	.0023923	-3.76	0.000	-.0493356 -.0316232
<b>w</b>						
L1.		.4706423	.0170985	26.89	0.000	.4437549 .5135316
<b>k</b>						
---		.2381439	.0143988	23.46	0.000	.2181345 .2661533
L1.		-.1450764	.0159113	-9.17	0.000	-.1771621 -.1147907
L2.		-.1004785	.0097268	-18.33	0.000	-.1185487 -.0814124
<b>ys</b>						
---		.6242184	.0584538	12.37	0.000	.5253267 .723106
L1.		-.0042974	.0001264	-33.38	0.000	-.00221429 -.00645318
L2.		.1600549	.0592753	3.28	0.002	.0623271 .2594827
yr1980		.0200349	.0035684	5.63	0.000	.0126547 .027813
yr1981		-.0131416	.007338	-1.79	0.073	-.0275238 .0012407
yr1982		-.0093256	.006827	-1.37	0.178	-.0227442 .0040917
yr1983		.0296735	.0073973	2.88	0.005	.0061934 .0351535
yr1984		.0154874	.0063745	2.42	0.016	.0029137 .0279811
_cons		.0218641	.1423848	0.86	0.000	.5619825 1.139176



xtdpd

`xtdpd` fits a linear dynamic panel-data model where the unobserved panel-level effects are correlated with **the lags of the dependent variable**. The command can fit Arellano-Bond and Arellano-Bover/Blundell-Bond models like those fit by `xtabond` and `xtdpdsys`.

xtdpd, for advanced users, is a more flexible alternative that can fit models with low-order moving-average correlations in the idiosyncratic errors and predetermined variables with a more complicated structure than allowed with xtabond and xtdpdsys.



# Arellano-Bond estimator with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors and strictly exogenous covariates

`xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k, dgmiv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k)`

```

. xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k, dgmiv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k)

Dynamic panel-data estimation          Number of obs   =    751
Group variable: id                    Number of groups =    140
Time variable: year

                                Obs per group:
                                min =         5
                                avg =    5.364286
                                max =         7

Number of instruments =    33          Wald chi2(7)    =   1434.37
                                        Prob > chi2      =    0.0000

One-step results

```

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
n						
L1.		.5779825	.1351485	4.28	0.000	.3138319 .8427731
L2.		-.4928163	.0462129	-1.99	0.046	-.1825919 -.8014407
w						
---		-.6108184	.0685643	-10.07	0.000	-.7287223 -.4913146
L1.		.2938618	.101849	2.88	0.004	.0934413 .4926822
ys						
---		.4849995	.0339359	8.16	0.000	.5204882 .8495108
L1.		-.4866203	.1503792	-3.24	0.001	-.7815591 -.1920824
k						
		.3623754	.0355449	10.19	0.000	.2927007 .432042
_cons		.7667895	.4707679	1.63	0.103	-.1554987 1.689478

```

Instruments for differenced equation
GM-type: l(2/2).n
Standard: D.w LD.w D.ys LD.ys D.k
Instruments for level equation
Standard: _cons

```

# Arellano-Bond estimator with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors and strictly exogenous covariates

xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k year yr1980-yr1984, dgmmlv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k year) div(yr1980-yr1984) nocons hascons

```

. xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k year yr1980-yr1984, dgmmlv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k year) div(yr1980-yr1984) nocons hascons

Dynamic panel data estimation
Group variables: id
Time variable: year

Number of obs = 413
Number of groups = 148
Obs per group = 4
avg = 4.1642568
min = 4

Number of instruments = 38
Wald chi2(13) = 375.18
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Over-time results

```

		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<b>id</b>						
l1	.1306138	.1276282	1.02	0.308	-.0207977	.2820253
l2	-.0756953	.0434469	-1.73	0.084	-.1602128	.0188732
<b>w</b>						
l1	-.2815732	.0610973	-4.58	0.000	-.4028893	-.1602571
l2	.2915342	.0693381	4.20	0.000	.1521349	.4309335
<b>ys</b>						
l1	.0972	.1272263	0.76	0.446	-.147045	.3414735
l2	-.6132952	.1079411	-5.64	0.000	-.8289276	-.3976628
<b>k</b>						
year	.0054272	.0120135	0.45	0.652	-.0184969	.0295413
yr1980	.0010476	.0306761	0.34	0.736	-.0571088	.0450135
yr1981	-.0320871	.0320585	-1.00	0.320	-.0974628	.0332887
yr1982	-.0098824	.0401360	-0.25	0.800	-.1489827	.0292179
yr1983	-.0073208	.0662333	-0.11	0.910	-.1484677	.0688261
yr1984	-.0102839	.0715438	-0.14	0.889	-.1618463	.0792884

Instruments for differenced equation  
 QM-type Ljung-Box  
 Waldtest:  $\chi^2 = 18.4$ ,  $P = 0.000$ ,  $Ljung-Box$   $\chi^2 = 8.4$ ,  $P = 0.159$ ,  $Ljung-Box$   $\chi^2 = 0.0$ ,  $P = 1.000$



# Arellano-Bover/Blundell-Bond system estimator with two lags of dependent variable included as regressors and strictly exogenous covariates

xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k, dgmiv(n) lgmmiv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k)

```
. xtdpd l(0/2).n l(0/1).(w ys) k, dgmiv(n) lgmmiv(n) div(l(0/1).(w ys) k)
```

Dynamic panel-data estimation      Number of obs =    751  
Group variable: id                    Number of groups = 140  
Time variable: year                     
    Obs per group:  
    min =            5  
    avg =           5.364286  
    max =            7

Number of instruments =    40                    Wald chi2(7) =    4375.71  
    Prob > chi2 =    0.0000

One-step results

	n	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
n						
L1.		.709591	.072315	9.81	0.000	-.5678563 .8513257
L2.		-.0708800	.0314552	-2.25	0.024	-.1325319 -.0092296
w						
---		-.6495049	.0607098	-10.70	0.000	-.7684938 -.5305159
L1.		.3530577	.0764309	4.62	0.000	.2032559 .5028594
ys						
---		.7698742	.0026427	9.31	0.000	.6078976 .9318508
L1.		-.5070667	.0018901	-6.39	0.000	-.7671837 -.4069407
k						
---		.2695409	.0308423	8.74	0.000	.2090912 .3299907
_cons		-.5734973	.4345343	1.32	0.187	-.2781743 1.425169

Instruments for differenced equation  
GMM-type: L1(2/.)n  
Standard: D.w LD.w D.ys LD.ys D.k

Instruments for level equation  
GMM-type: LD.n  
Standard: \_cons





Income and democracy

[Acemoglu et al.(2008)Acemoglu, Johnson, Robinson and Yared]

Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations

[Arellano and Bond(1991)]

Efficient estimation of models for dynamic panel data

[Ahn and Schmidt(1995)]

Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models

[Blundell and Bond(1998)]

Estimating dynamic panel data models: a guide for macroeconomists

[Judson and Owen(1999)]



Dynamic panel data models: a guide to micro data methods and practice  
[Bond(2002)]

A finite sample correction for the variance of linear efficient two-step GMM  
estimators  
[Windmeijer(2005)]

Causal relationship between energy consumption and GDP growth  
revisited: a dynamic panel data approach  
[Huang et al.(2008)Huang, Hwang and Yang]

Does tourism influence economic growth? A dynamic panel data approach  
[Sequeira and Maçãs Nunes(2008)]

Thank You!

# References I



Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., Robinson, J.A., Yared, P., 2008.  
Income and democracy.  
*American Economic Review* 98, 808–42.



Ahn, S.C., Schmidt, P., 1995.  
Efficient estimation of models for dynamic panel data.  
*Journal of econometrics* 68, 5–27.



Arellano, M., Bond, S., 1991.  
Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte carlo evidence and an application to employment equations.  
*The review of economic studies* 58, 277–297.



Blundell, R., Bond, S., 1998.  
Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models.  
*Journal of econometrics* 87, 115–143.



Bond, S.R., 2002.  
Dynamic panel data models: a guide to micro data methods and practice.  
*Portuguese economic journal* 1, 141–162.



Huang, B.N., Hwang, M.J., Yang, C.W., 2008.  
Causal relationship between energy consumption and gdp growth revisited: a dynamic panel data approach.  
*Ecological economics* 67, 41–54.



Judson, R.A., Owen, A.L., 1999.  
Estimating dynamic panel data models: a guide for macroeconomists.  
*Economics letters* 65, 9–15.

# References II



Sequeira, T.N., Maçãs Nunes, P., 2008.

Does tourism influence economic growth? a dynamic panel data approach.  
*Applied Economics* 40, 2431–2441.



Windmeijer, F., 2005.

A finite sample correction for the variance of linear efficient two-step gmm estimators.  
*Journal of econometrics* 126, 25–51.